arXiv:1512.02404v1 [math.CO] 8 Dec 2015

A SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR A PAIR OF SEQUENCES TO BE BIPARTITE GRAPHIC

GRANT CAIRNS, STACEY MENDAN, AND YURI NIKOLAYEVSKY

Abstract. We present a sufficient condition for a pair of finite integer sequences to be degree sequences of a bipartite graph, based only on the lengths of the sequences and their largest and smallest elements.

1. Introduction

For natural numbers a, b, c, d, m, n, S, let $P(a, b, c, d, m, n, S)$ denote the set of pairs $(\underline{e}, \underline{f})$ of integer sequences of length m, n respectively, each having sum S, with $\max(\underline{e}) = a, \min(\underline{e}) = b, \max(\underline{f}) = c, \min(\underline{f}) = d$. We consider the following problem: when is it the case that for all pairs $(\underline{e}, \underline{f}) \in P(a, b, c, d, m, n, S)$, there exists a bipartite graph whose degree sequences are $\underline{e}$ and $\underline{f}$? In this case the pair $(\underline{e}, \underline{f})$ is said to be *bipartite graphic*.

Before presenting our main result, we remark that for the symmetric case where $\underline{e} = \underline{f}$, a sufficient condition was given in [1], and a sharp bound was given in [5]. See also [8, 3]. For the analogous problem of the graphicality of a single sequence, a sufficient condition was given in [10], improvements were given in [2, 4], and a sharp bound was given in [6] (note that [4] was written before but appeared after [6]).

Theorem 1. *For natural numbers a, b, c, d, m, n, S such that $n \geq a \geq b, m \geq c \geq d$, and $\max(mb, nd) \leq S \leq \min(ma, nc)$, the following conditions are equivalent.*

(a) *All pairs $(\underline{e}, \underline{f}) \in P(a, b, c, d, m, n, S)$ are bipartite graphic.*

(b) *$a = b$ or $c = d$ or, when $a > b$ and $c > d$,*

$$ar + cs \leq S + rs + \min\{r - p - d, s - q - b, r + s - p - q - b - d + 1, 0\}, \tag{1}$$

where $r = \lfloor \frac{S-mb}{a-b} \rfloor, s = \lfloor \frac{S-nd}{c-d} \rfloor, p = S - cs - d(n - s)$ and $q = S - ar - b(m - r)$.

Remark 1. The hypotheses $a \geq b, c \geq d$, and $\max(mb, nd) \leq S \leq \min(ma, nc)$ of the above theorem are just the obvious conditions under which $P(a, b, c, d, m, n, S)$ is nonempty. The hypotheses $n \geq a, m \geq c$ are obvious necessary conditions for a pair to be bipartite graphic.

Remark 2. The dependence on S of the criteria in the above theorem can be removed by imposing (1) for each of the finite number of possible values of S, that is, all S with $\max(mb, nd) \leq S \leq \min(ma, nc)$.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we prove the key fact that it suffices to consider sequences with at most three different entries. The proof of Theorem 1 is completed

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C07.

Key words and phrases. bipartite graph, degree sequence.

in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4, we employ Theorem 1 in the case of bipartite graphs whose degree sequences $\underline{e}, \underline{f}$ are equal; this gives an alternate proof of the main result of [5].

2. Pairs with at most three different entries

Consider natural numbers a, b, c, d, m, n, S such that $n \geq a > b, m \geq c > d$, and $\max(mb, nd) \leq S \leq \min(ma, nc)$. Let $r = \lfloor \frac{S-mb}{a-b} \rfloor, s = \lfloor \frac{S-nd}{c-d} \rfloor, p = S - cs - d(n-s)$ and $q = S - ar - b(m-r)$. Note that $1 \leq r < n, 1 \leq s < m, 0 \leq q < a-b$ and $0 \leq p < c-d$. Consider the sequences

$$\underline{E} = (a^r, b+q, b^{m-r-1}), \quad \underline{F} = (c^s, d+p, d^{n-s-1}). \tag{2}$$

Here and throughout this paper, the superscripts indicate the number of repetitions of the entry. By construction, $\underline{E}$ and $\underline{F}$ both have sum $S = ra + b(m-r) + q = c + d(n-s) + p$. So $(\underline{E}, \underline{F}) \in P(a, b, c, d, m, n, S)$. The following lemma shows that the bipartite graphicality need only be checked for such pairs of sequences.

Lemma 1. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

(a) *All pairs* $(\underline{e}, \underline{f}) \in P(a, b, c, d, m, n, S)$ *are bipartite graphic.*

(b) *The pair* $(\underline{E}, \underline{F})$ *is bipartite graphic.*

Proof. (a) $\implies$ (b) is obvious. To prove the converse, recall that by the Gale-Ryser Theorem [7, 9], a pair of decreasing integer sequences $\underline{e} = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{m-1}, e_m)$, $\underline{f} = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{n-1}, f_n)$ is bipartite graphic if and only if they have the same sum and for all $k = 1, \ldots, m$, the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min(k, f_i) \tag{3}$$

is satisfied. (Here, and throughout the paper, *decreasing* is be understood in the non-strict sense). So by the Gale-Ryser Theorem, (b) $\implies$ (a) follows from the following two claims:

(i) If $\underline{e} = (e_1 = a, e_2, \ldots, e_{m-1}, e_m = b)$ is a decreasing sequence with the sum S and $\underline{E}$ is given by (2), then for all $k = 1, \ldots, m$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} E_i.$$

(ii) If $\underline{f} = (f_1 = c, f_2, \ldots, f_{n-1}, f_n = d)$ is a decreasing sequence with the sum S and $\underline{F}$ is given by (2), then for all $k = 1, \ldots, m$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \min(k, F_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min(k, f_i).$$

To prove (i) we first note that the required inequality is satisfied for all $k = 1, \ldots, r$, as for such k, $e_i \leq E_i = a$. For $k = r+1$ we need to show that $\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} e_i \leq ar + b + q$, which is equivalent to $\sum_{i=r+2}^{m} e_i \geq S - (ar + b + q) = b(m - r - 1)$, which is true as $e_i \geq b$. Now for $k = r+2, \ldots, m$ define $\phi_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (E_i - e_i)$. We have $\phi_m = 0$. Moreover, $\phi_{k+1} - \phi_k = E_{k+1} - e_{k+1} = b - e_{k+1} \leq 0$, so the sequence ϕ_k is decreasing. Hence $\phi_k \geq 0$ for all $k = r+2, \ldots, m$.

The proof of (ii) can be deduced from the symmetry (we can interchange the sequences $\underline{e}$ and $\underline{f}$). It is cleaner however to give an independent proof. So suppose that $\underline{f} = (f_1 = c, f_2, \ldots, f_{n-1}, f_n = d)$ is a decreasing sequence with the sum S. Let C be the maximal subscript such that $f_C = c$ and let D be the minimal subscript such that $f_D = d$. Clearly $C < D$. If $C + 1 = D$ or if $C + 2 = D$, then $\underline{f} = \underline{F}$ (as the sum is fixed, so that f_{C+1} is uniquely determined). Otherwise consider the sequence $\underline{f'}$ such that $f'_{C+1} = f_{C+1}+1$, $f'_{D-1} = f_{D-1}-1$ and $f'_i = f_i$ for $i \neq C+1, D-1$. The sequence $\underline{f'}$ is decreasing, with the same sum S as that of $\underline{f}$. Furthermore, the sums $\sum_{i=1}^n \min(k, f_i)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \min(k, f'_i)$ may only differ in the terms with $i = C+1, D-1$, and an easy check shows that $\sum_{i\in\{C+1,D-1\}} \min(k, f'_i) \leq \sum_{i\in\{C+1,D-1\}} \min(k, f_i)$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, m$, so $\sum_{i=1}^n \min(k, f'_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \min(k, f_i)$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, m$. Repeating this argument we will eventually arrive at $\underline{F}$, which proves (ii). □

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Recall that using the notion of strong indices, Zverovich and Zverovich gave the following refinement of the Gale-Ryser Theorem.

Theorem 2 ([10, Theorem 8])**.** *Let $\underline{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ and $\underline{y} = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ be decreasing sequences of natural numbers with equal sum S, and suppose that $\underline{x}$ has the form $\underline{x} = (z_1^{l_1}, z_2^{l_2}, \ldots, z_t^{l_t})$, where $z_1 > z_2 > \cdots > z_t$. The pair $(\underline{x}, \underline{y})$ is bipartite graphic if and only if for all $k \in \{l_1, l_1 + l_2, \ldots, l_1 + \cdots + l_t\}$, one has*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min\{k, y_i\}. \tag{4}$$

Remark 3. Let $m = l_1 + \cdots + l_t$. For $k = m$ the inequality (4) is just $S \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \min\{m, y_i\}$. Notice that this inequality holds if and only if $y_1 \leq m$, because of the assumption that the sequences each have sum S.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let a, b, c, d, m, n, S be as in the statement of Theorem 1. First we treat the case where $a = b$ or $c = d$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $a = b$. So if $(\underline{e}, \underline{f}) \in P(a, b, c, d, m, n, S)$, then $\underline{e} = (a^m)$. By Theorem 2 with $\underline{x} = \underline{e}, \underline{y} = \underline{f}$, the pair $(\underline{e}, \underline{f})$ is bipartite graphic if (4) holds for $k = m$, which is the case by Remark 3 since $d \leq m$ by hypothesis. So we may assume that $a > b$ and $c > d$.

Applying Theorem 2 and Remark 3 to the pair $(\underline{E}, \underline{F})$ of Section 2, we have that $(\underline{E}, \underline{F})$ is bipartite graphic if and only if the following two inequalities hold:

$$ar \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min\{r, F_i\}, \tag{5}$$

$$ar + b + q \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \min\{r + 1, F_i\}. \tag{6}$$

When $r < d$, since $n \geq a$ we have $\sum_{i=1}^n \min\{r, F_i\} = nr \geq ar$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \min\{r + 1, F_i\} = n(r + 1) \geq ar + a \geq ar + b + q,$$

so (5) and (6) both hold. Similarly, if $c \le r$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n \min\{r, F_i\} = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i = S \ge ar$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \min\{r+1, F_i\} = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i = S \ge ar + b + q,$$

so (5) and (6) again both hold. Thus we may assume that $d \le r < c$. Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \min\{r, F_i\} = rs + \min\{r, d+p\} + d(n-s-1), \tag{7}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \min\{r+1, F_i\} = rs + s + \min\{r+1, d+p\} + d(n-s-1). \tag{8}$$

Consequently (5) and (6) both hold, and hence $(\underline{E}, \underline{F})$ is bipartite graphic, if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} ar &\le \min\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \min\{r, F_i\}, \sum_{i=1}^n \min\{r+1, F_i\} - b - q \right\} \\ &= rs + d(n-s-1) + \min\{\min\{r, d+p\}, \min\{r+1, d+p\} + s - b - q\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $d(n-s) = S - cs - p$ gives a more symmetrical, equivalent condition:

$$\begin{aligned} ar + cs &\le S + rs - d - p + \min\{\min\{r, d+p\}, \min\{r+1, d+p\} + s - b - q\} \\ &= S + rs + \min\{\min\{r-p-d, 0\}, \min\{r+s-b-d-p-q+1, s-b-q\}\} \\ &= S + rs + \min\{r-p-d, s-q-b, r-p-d+s-q-b+1, 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

So Theorem 1 follows from Lemma 1. □

4. Symmetric pairs

In [5], a sharp sufficient condition was given for a symmetric pair $(\underline{e}, \underline{e})$ to be bipartite graphic; if $\underline{e}$ has length m, maximal element a, and minimal element b, then the condition is $mb \ge \lfloor \frac{(a+b)^2}{4} \rfloor$. Notice that when $a+b$ is odd, the condition is $mb \ge \frac{(a+b)^2-1}{4}$, or equivalently $4mb \ge (a+b)^2 - 1$. When $a+b$ is even, the condition is $mb \ge \frac{(a+b)^2}{4}$, or equivalently $4mb \ge (a+b)^2$. But in this case, since both sides are divisible by 4, this condition can also be written as $4mb \ge (a+b)^2 - 1$. So we may reformulate the main result of [5] as follows.

Theorem 3. *Consider natural numbers a, b, m such that $m \ge a \ge b$, and $4mb \ge (a+b)^2 - 1$. Then for all S with $mb \le S \le ma$, all symmetric pairs $(\underline{e}, \underline{e}) \in P(a, b, a, b, m, m, S)$ are bipartite graphic.*

We now employ Theorem 1 to give an alternate proof of Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that $m \ge a \ge b$, and $4mb \ge (a+b)^2 - 1$. First note that the required result holds if $a = b$ by Theorem 1. So we may assume that $a \ge b+1$. Substituting $c = a, d = b$ and $n = m$ in Theorem 1(b) we have that if $mb \le S \le ma$, then all symmetric pairs $(\underline{e}, \underline{e}) \in P(a, b, a, b, m, m, S)$ are bipartite graphic if

$$2ar \le S + r^2 + \min\{2r - 2q - 2b + 1, 0\}, \tag{9}$$

where $r = \lfloor \frac{S-mb}{a-b} \rfloor$ and $q = S - ar - b(m-r)$. Using $S = ar + b(m-r) + q$, and rearranging, (9) can be written as $R \geq 0$, where

$$R = r^2 - (a+b)r + mb + q + \min\{2r - 2q - 2b + 1, 0\}. \tag{10}$$

So by Theorem 1, it remains to use $4mb \geq (a+b)^2 - 1$ to show $R \geq 0$ holds for all $1 \leq r < m$ and $0 \leq q < a - b$.

If $2r-2q-2b+1 \leq 0$, then $R = r^2-(a+b-2)r+b(m-2)-q+1$, and it clearly suffices to consider the case $q = a-b-1$. In this case, $R = r^2-(a+b-2)r+bm-a-b+2$, which we regard as a quadratic in r. The discriminant Δ is $(a+b-2)^2-4(bm-a-b+2) = (a+b)^2-4(bm+1)$. So as $4mb \geq (a+b)^2 - 1$, we have $\Delta \leq -3 < 0$. Hence $R \geq 0$ for all r, in this case.

If $2r - 2q - 2b + 1 > 0$, then $R = r^2 - (a+b)r + mb + q$, and it clearly suffices to consider the case $q = 0$. The discriminant Δ is then $(a+b)^2 - 4mb$. So as $4mb \geq (a+b)^2 - 1$,

$$\Delta = (a+b)^2 - 4mb \leq 1.$$

If $\Delta \leq 0$, then $R \geq 0$ for all r, as required. If $\Delta = 1$, then $a + b$ is necessarily odd. Thus, as the minimum of the quadratic $r^2 - (a+b)r + mb$ is attained at $\frac{a+b}{2}$, the smallest value of R for r an integer is attained at $\frac{a+b\pm1}{2}$; but these are the zeros of R. So $R \geq 0$ for all integers r, as required. □

References

1. Noga Alon, Sonny Ben-Shimon, and Michael Krivelevich, *A note on regular Ramsey graphs*, J. Graph Theory **64** (2010), no. 3, 244–249.
2. Michael D. Barrus, Stephen G. Hartke, Kyle F. Jao, and Douglas B. West, *Length thresholds for graphic lists given fixed largest and smallest entries and bounded gaps*, Discrete Math. **312** (2012), no. 9, 1494–1501.
3. Grant Cairns and Stacey Mendan, *Symmetric bipartite graphs and graphs with loops*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. **17** (2015), no. 1, 97–102.
4. ———, *An improvement of a result of Zverovich–Zverovich*, Ars Math. Contemp. **10** (2016), no. 1, 79–83.
5. Grant Cairns, Stacey Mendan, and Yuri Nikolayevsky, *A sharp refinement of a result of Alon, Ben-Shimon and Krivelevich on bipartite graph vertex sequences*, Australas. J. Combin. **60** (2014), 217–226.
6. ———, *A sharp refinement of a result of Zverovich-Zverovich*, Discrete Math. **338** (2015), no. 7, 1085–1089.
7. David Gale, *A theorem on flows in networks*, Pacific J. Math. **7** (1957), 1073–1082.
8. Jeffrey W. Miller, *Reduced criteria for degree sequences*, Discrete Math. **313** (2013), 550–562.
9. H. J. Ryser, *Combinatorial properties of matrices of zeros and ones*, Canad. J. Math. **9** (1957), 371–377.
10. I. È. Zverovich and V. È. Zverovich, *Contributions to the theory of graphic sequences*, Discrete Math. **105** (1992), no. 1-3, 293–303.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia 3086

E-mail address: G.Cairns@latrobe.edu.au

E-mail address: spmendan@students.latrobe.edu.au

E-mail address: Y.Nikolayevsky@latrobe.edu.au